

FORENSIC OFFICER (FO)

Sr. No.	Name of the candidate	RollNo	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks by Experts	Comments as per record
1.	Anand Kumar s/o Sh. Sahi Ramr/oChak1-A, Chhoti, Ward No.28,Tehsil and District Sri ganga nagar (Rajasthan)	210500006792 LN	To recheck and reconsider the final merit list.	Rejected because <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bachelor's Degree is not provided by the candidate. Experience provided by the candidate is from private handwriting and Fingerprint expert. 	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer.
2.	Lovepreet Kaur d/o Gurjant Singh Purana Bazar, Ward No.4, Bhikhi district Mansa.	210500006791 CWP LN	Requested to provide reason for her rejection as candidates with lesser marks than her are selected.	Rejected because research experience has not been mentioned in the 'Experience Required' column in the advertisement. So, research experience has not been considered as work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer.
3.	Shilpa(BCCategory)	210500006752	I scored 153 Marks but I did not get my name inresult	Rejected due to non-forensic experience (lab attendant in Government High School, Tattley, Gurdaspur).	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer.
4.	Pallavi Malik D/o Ashok Malik W/o Harsh Chopra R/o2182HottaKhera, DisttRopar	210500006863	Requested to reconsider decision.	Rejected because the relevant Work experience is less than 7 years i.e. 2 years and 6 months. The experience of approx. 5 years of teaching in private university was also provided by the candidate and the experience from the private institutions has not been considered for the said post.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer.

Sr. No.	Name of the candidate	RollNo	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks by Experts	Comments as per record
5.	Satwinder Singh s/o Sh. Hakam Singh r/o # 31, Palam Enclave, Godam Area, Zirakpur.(SC) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6801 and 6800 dated 15.11.2022	210500006859	Requested to reconsider decision.	Rejected due to non-forensic experience from private companies. As per the experience certificates provided the candidate, he worked as Analytical Chemist/ Senior Quality Control Chemist/ Quality Control Chemist/ Assistant Manager (QC)/ Manager QC in private firms.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer..
6.	Pardeep Kumar s/o Sh. Tarsem Lal r/o Mohalla Dharampur, Ward No.10, Tehsil Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab-144205.(SC) CPO Circular Br Diary No.6796 dated 15.11.2022.	210500006865	Requested to reconsider decision on sympathetic grounds.	Rejected in document verification and the candidate was declared unfit, due to qualification i.e. B.A. (Mathematics) Experience was found to be ok.	Educational Qualification of the candidate is graduation in B.A Mathematics, which is not as per advertisement. The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be ok by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification. The candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer.

ASSISTANT FORENSIC OFFICER (AFO)

Sr. No.	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks by Experts	Comments as per record
1.	Rishab Anand s/o Antaryami Anand r/o H.No.3, Street No.3, ShaheedUdham Singh Colony, Bhaderkali Mandir,Amritsar CPO CircularBrDiary No. 6856,dated:15.11.2022	210500005862	Requested to provide reason for his rejection as he is eligible and fulfilled all conditions.	Rejected due to non-forensic experience from private companies. As per the experience certificates provided by the candidate, he worked asChemist in Private Organization and as Assay Masterin a computerized test laboratory.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
2.	Amandeep Saini S/o Late Jagdish Saini	210500006549	Consider my name in the cut off list.	Rejected due to non-forensic experience. According to the documents submitted with application form, the work experience is from Non-Forensic organization.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
3.	Navjot KaurD/o Sukhdev Singh r/o H.No.1, Swaraj Enclave, SanteMajra, Near Shiva likcity, GateNo.6, Landran Road,Kharar, SASNagar CPOCircular Br Diary No.6775 and 6771dated15.11.2022	210500006572	Ritika Arora Roll No. 210500006568 or Priyanka Mittal Roll No. 201500006536 who have scored 165 and 227marks do not possess sufficient experience have been shortlisted Consider and decided her name.	Rejected because research experience has not been mentioned in the 'Experience Required' column in the advertisement. So, research experience has not been considered as work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
4.	Neeti Saini d/o Sh. Yashwant Dayal Saini r/o2031, Sector 45-A, Burali,Chandigarh-160047 CPO Circular Br diary No.6806,dated15.11.2022	210500006574	Reason of rejection be informed.	Rejected because the training (mentioned as experience by the candidate) was the part of Graduation degree, and not theactual work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer

5.	Glory Sharma	210500006382 CWP LN	Reason for not considering in The provisional list	Rejected because total relevant work experience is of less than 2 years i.e. 1 year 11 months and 7 days Rest of the experience provided by the candidate is Research experience of M. Phil degree in Forensic Science. The Research experience has not been mentioned in the 'Experience Required' column in the advertisement. So, Research experience has not been considered as work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
6.	Simran Kamboj	210500006543 CWP LN	Reason for not considering in The provisional list	Rejected because the training (mentioned as experience by the candidate) was the part of Graduation degree, and not the actual work experience. Rest of the work experience is from private forensic organization.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
7.	Kiranpreet Kaur	210500006735 CWP LN	Reason for not considering in The provisional list	Rejected as the experience provided by the candidate is of/from private Finger- print and Hand writing expert and Forensic Science consultant.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
8.	Simranjit Kaur	210500006602	Is cored 206 Marks but I am Not selected in final provisional list	Rejected because total relevant work experience is of less than 2 years i.e. 1 year and the rest of the experience is from private non- forensic (Clinical) Laboratory	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
9.	Shilpa (BCCategory)	210500005859	I scored 142 Marks but cut of the female merit is 137 , I did not find my name in result	Rejected due to non-forensic experience (lab attendant in Government High School, Tattley, Gurdaspur).	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer

10.	Shubham Saini	210500006370	Candidates who scored less than me are selected, I did not find my name in the final result list.	Rejected as the work experience provided by the candidate is from private forensic organization.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
11.	Komaljeet kaur	210500006546	I got scored 159 marks in BC Category please clear my doubts , why I did not find mynameinwaitinglist.	Rejected because, research experience has not been mentioned in the 'Experience Required' column in the advertisement. So, research experience has not been considered as work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
12.	Deepak Kumar S/o Dhiyan Prasad r/o#19,GuruNanakColony, Dafarpur,CityDerabassi, P.O. Mubarakpur-140201 CPO Circular Br Diary No.6791,dated15.11.2022	210500006556	Is cored149 Marks in general Category but I did am not short listed in the final list.	Rejected because the total relevant work experience is of less than 2 years i.e.3 months and 11 days at CFSL, Chandigarh andof 6 months at Deptt. of Forensic Medicine, PGIMER. The training (mentioned as experience by the candidate) was the part of Graduation degree, and not theactual work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
13.	Sandeep Kumar Bajaj s/o Tekch and Bajaj r/o Gurudwara Singh Sabha Road Zira, Distt. Ferozepur.	210500006378	Is cored 157 Marks but cut of Marks for the final selected was 151 in general category, I have not been selected.	Rejected as the <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualification is of B.A. (General) and Diploma in Legal and Forensic examination. • The experience provided by the candidate is of Assistance and Training with private Fingerprint and Hand-writing expert. 	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer. Further, the Educational Qualification not as per advertisement.
14.	Gurleen Kaur	210500006217	Is cored 216 marks but not listed in the final merit list on the other hand the candidate having less marks are listed in the merit list.	Rejected because research experience has not been mentioned in the 'Experience Required' column in the advertisement. So, research experience has not been considered as work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer

15.	Harkirat Kaur	210500006545	Is cored 205 marks but I am Not selected in final provisional list.	Rejected as the relevant Laboratory experience is of 10 months only.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
16.	Nandini Sharma	210500006591	Is cored 208 marks in general Category but I am not selected in final provisional list.	Rejected as the work experience was not provided by the candidate.	The candidate did not fill any experience details in the application form and submitted her M.Sc Forensic Science and Criminology Degree Certificate as her experience certificate, which cannot be accepted as experience .
17.	Rohan Kapoor S/o Rakesh Kapoor	210500005860	Is cored 171 marks in general category. I request to the concerned officials to check for the error or discrepancy and review for the name.	Rejected as the work experience provided by the candidate is from private forensic laboratory.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
18.	Lovepreet Kaur	210500006120 CWP LN	Requested to provide reason for her rejection as candidates with lesser marks than her areselected.	Rejected because research experience has not been mentioned in the 'Experience Required' column in the advertisement. So, research experience has not been considered as work experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
19.	Anmol Maini	210500006377	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	Rejected as the work experience provided by the candidate is from private forensic organization.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer

20.	Pardeep Kumar s/o Sh.Tarsem Lal r/o Mohalla Dharampur,WardNo.10,Te hsil Dasuya, Disrtrict Hoshiarpur, Punjab-144205.(SC) CPO Circular Br Diary No.6805 dated 15.11.2022.	210500006565	Requested to reconsider Decision on sympathetic grounds.	Rejected in document verification and the candidate was declared unfit, due to qualification i.e. B.A. (Mathematics) Experience was found to be ok. (Documents verified from the file of the applicant for the post of Forensic Officer)	Educational Qualification of the candidate is graduation in B.A Mathematics, which is not as per advertisement. The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be ok by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification. The candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Forensic Officer.
21.	Harinder Kaur	210500006219 CWP LN	She have been discriminated As candidates with lower merit have been selected.	Rejected as the experience of teaching in private university was provided by the candidate and the experience from the private institutions has not been considered for the said post.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
22.	Ravinder Kaur	210500006560 CWP LN	She have been discriminated As candidates with lower merit have been selected.	Rejected as the experience of teaching in private university and experience from private organization was provided by the candidate and the experience from the private institutions has not been considered for the said post.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Assistant Forensic Officer
